arXiv:1610.00396v1 [math.AG] 3 Oct 2016

ALGEBRAIC ELLIPTIC COHOMOLOGY AND FLOPS II: SL-COBORDISM

MARC LEVINE, YAPING YANG, AND GUFANG ZHAO

Abstract. In this paper, we study the algebraic Thom spectrum MSL in the motivic stable homotopy category of Voevodsky over an arbitrary perfect field k. Using the motivic Adams spectral sequence, we compute the geometric part of the η-completion of MSL. As an application, we study the Krichever's elliptic genus with integral coefficients, restricted to MSL. We determine its image, and identify its kernel as the ideal generated by differences of SL-flops. This was proved by B. Totaro in the complex analytic setting. In the appendix, we prove some convergence properties of the motivic Adams spectral sequence.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Motivations.** In this paper, we study the algebraic Krichever's elliptic genus, when restricted to the SL-cobordism ring with integral coefficients.

Elliptic genera in topology have a renowned rigidity property, conjectured by Witten and proved by many others, including Bott-Taubes [BT89], Liu [Liu95], and Ando [An03], which says that for any Spin-manifold with S^1-action, the S^1-equivariant genus does not depend on the equivariant parameter. Similarly, there is a SU-rigidity theorem for certain elliptic genus with two parameters (referred to as the Krichever's elliptic genus) proved by Krichever [K90] and Höhn [Höh91], which says the S^1-equivariant Krichever's elliptic genus of any SU-manifold is a constant. In [T00] Totaro proved that a genus has the SU-rigidity property if and only if the values of two birational manifolds related by a flop are equal. Further more, he proved that the Krichever's elliptic genus is the universal with respect to this property. The proof in [T00] uses topological constructions, which do not have direct counterparts for varieties over an arbitrary field.

In [LYZ13], the authors gave a purely algebraic proof of the fact that the kernel of Krichever's elliptic genus coincides with the ideal generated by differences of flops, a proof which works for varieties over an arbitrary perfect field. Moreover, we obtained the existence of a corresponding motivic oriented cohomology theory representing elliptic cohomology. With rational coefficients, we have a description of the coefficient ring of the motivic elliptic cohomology. For a summary of the main results in [LYZ13], see § 5.1.

The rigidity property in topology suggests that after the restriction to SL-cobordism, this algebraic Krichever's elliptic genus has better properties, which is the subject of the present paper. For example, it is natural to expect, as in topology, the image under the elliptic genus of the GL-cobordism is non-neotherian, but the image of SL-cobordism is.

Date: October 4, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55N22, 55P42; Secondary 55N34, 14E15.

Key words and phrases. Elliptic genus, Thom spectrum, motivic Adams spectral sequence, flops.

The last two authors are grateful to Universität Duisburg-Essen for hospitality, and financial support via DFG Schwerpunktprogramm SPP 1786.

The coefficient ring of algebraic SL-cobordism has not been fully investigated, unlike its topological analogue which goes back to a classical result of Novikov in the study of Adams spectral sequences [Nov62]. In the present paper, following Novikov's approach, we explore the motivic Adams spectral sequence to get necessary information about the coefficient ring of SL-cobordism for the study of elliptic genus. Along the way, we study convergence property of motivic Adams spectral sequence in Appendix A, with the results summarized in § 1.3.

1.2. **Main theorems.** Let k be an arbitrary perfect field. Let p be the exponential characteristic of k. That is, $p = \operatorname{char} k$ if $\operatorname{char} k > 0$, $p = 1$ if $\operatorname{char} k = 0$. Let $\mathrm{SH}(k)$ be the motivic stable homotopy category of $\mathbb{P}^1$-spectra (for the conventions we are following, see § 2). For any spectrum $X \in \mathrm{SH}(k)$, let $X^\wedge_\eta$ be the completion of X along the algebraic Hopf map $\eta : \mathbb{G}_m = S^{1,1} \to S^0 = S^{0,0}$. Let $\mathrm{MGL}, \mathrm{MSL} \in \mathrm{SH}(k)$ be the Thom spectra of GL and SL respectively. There is a natural map $(\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^\wedge_\eta)^* \to \mathrm{MGL}[1/2p]^*$ (Lemma 4.2), which we will prove to be an embedding.

Let Ell be the ring $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, 1/2a_4]$, with an elliptic curves on it defined as the base-change of the Weierstrass curve $y^2 + \mu_1 xy + \mu_3 y = x^3 + \mu_2 x^2 + \mu_4 x + \mu_6$ along

$$\mu_1 \mapsto 2a_1,\ \mu_2 \mapsto 3a_2 - a_1^2,\ \mu_3 \mapsto -a_3,\ \mu_4 \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}a_4 + 3a_2^2 - a_1a_3,\ \mu_6 \mapsto 0.$$

The local uniformizer $t = y/x$ of the elliptic curve induces a ring homomorphism $\phi : \mathrm{MGL}^* \to \mathrm{Ell}$, which is the algebraic Krichever's elliptic genus [LYZ13, § 3.1]. The restriction of $\phi[1/2p] : \mathrm{MGL}[1/2p]^* \to \mathrm{Ell}[1/2p]$ to $(\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^\wedge_\eta)^*$ is denoted by $\overline{\phi}$.

Let $\mathcal{I}_{fl} \subseteq \mathrm{MGL}[1/p]^*$ be the ideal generated by differences of flops. Define the ideal of SL-flops $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{SL}}_{fl} \subseteq (\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^\wedge_\eta)^*$ to be $(\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^\wedge_\eta)^* \bigcap \mathcal{I}_{fl}[1/2p]$. In this paper, we prove

Theorem A. The kernel of $\overline{\phi}$ in $(\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^\wedge_\eta)^*$ is $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{SL}}_{fl}$; the image ring is a free polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[1/2p][3a_2, a_3, a_4]$, with $\deg(a_i) = -i$.

Although this statement is similar to its topological analogue [T00, Theorem 6.1], the proof here is more involved. Not knowing the homotopy groups of motivic Thom spectrum MSL, we need to study the motivic Adams spectral sequence, which differs from its classical analogue in many aspects. One of the major differences is the non-unipotence of the algebraic Hopf map η.

Thanks to the general convergence properties of motivic Adams spectral sequence in Appendix A (summarized in § 1.3), we calculate of the E_2 page of the mod-l motivic Adams spectral sequence converging to homotopy group of MSL, building up on [DI10]. We find degeneration of certain differentials (Proposition 4.6), hence, prove the following, which is the key ingredient in the proof of Theorem A.

Theorem B. (1) The ring $(\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^\wedge_\eta)^*$ is a free polynomial ring with infinitely many generators, lying in degree $2, 3, 4, \ldots$, which maps injectively into $\mathrm{MGL}[1/2p]^*$.

(2) An element $[X] \in \mathrm{MGL}^{2*,*}$ is a polynomial generator of degree n of $(\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^\wedge_\eta)^*$ if and only if the following property holds:

$$s^n(X) = \begin{cases} \pm l(\text{a power of 2}), & \text{if } n \text{ is a power of an odd prime } l; \\ \pm l(\text{a power of 2}), & \text{if } n+1 \text{ is a power of an odd prime } l; \\ \pm(\text{a power of 2}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where s^n is the Chern number of degree n associated to the symmetric polynomial $x_1^n + \cdots + x_n^n$.

1.3. **Convergence of motivic Adams spectral sequence.** In topology, the convergence properties of the Adams spectral sequences were proven in [A58]. A simpler approach was later given by Bousfield in [Bous79], based on an idea of localization going back to Ravenel [Rav77].

In Appendix A, we study the convergence properties of the motivic Adams spectral sequence [Mor99], following similar method as in [Bous79]. The role of Postnikov tower in *loc. cit.* is replaced by the slice tower of Voevodsky [Voev02], which was studied recently in [RSØ16], on which our investigation relies.

Without going to the technical details, we state the motivic analogue of Bousfield's theorem. For any spectrum $X \in \mathrm{SH}(k)$, let $X_l^\wedge$ be the homotopy inverse limit of the system $X/(l^n) \to X/(l^{n-1})$, where $X/(l^n)$ is the homotopy cofiber of the multiplication map $l^n : X \to X$.

Theorem C (Theorem A.1)**.** Let l be a prime different than $\mathrm{char}(k)$. Let $Y \in \mathrm{SH}(k)$ be any motivic spectrum. Let $Y^{\mathrm{nil}}_{H\mathbb{Z}/l}$ be the homotopy inverse limit of Adams tower (6). If Y has a cell presentation of finite type ([RSØ16, § 3.3]) and satisfies condition (Fin), then we have $Y^{\mathrm{nil}}_{H\mathbb{Z}/l} \cong Y^\wedge_{\eta,l}$.

Organization of the paper. In § 2, we recall the structure of motivic Steenrod algebra found by Voevodsky. In § 3, we study modules over the motivic Steenrod algebra coming from the Thom spectra MGL and MSL, which will be used in the calculation of the motivic Adams spectral sequence. In § 4, we use the motivic Adams spectral sequence to prove Theorem B. In § 5, we use Theorem B to conclude the proof of Theorem A.

2. Preliminaries

Let Sm_k be the category of smooth varieties over k. Let $\mathrm{SH}(k)$ be the motivic stable homotopy category of $\mathbb{P}^1$-spectra. Recall that one has the *infinite* $\mathbb{P}^1$*-suspension functor* $\Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-)_+ : \mathrm{Sm}_k \to \mathrm{SH}(k)$ and suspension functors

$$\Sigma^n_{S^1}, \Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}_m}, \Sigma^n_{\mathbb{P}^1} : \mathrm{SH}(k) \to \mathrm{SH}(k)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\mathrm{SH}(k)$ is a triangulated tensor category with translation Σ_{S^1}, tensor product $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F}) \mapsto \mathscr{E} \wedge \mathscr{F}$ and unit the motivic sphere spectrum $\mathbb{S}_k := \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{P}^1} \operatorname{Spec} k_+$. An object $\mathscr{E}$ of $\mathrm{SH}(k)$ and integers n, m define a functor $\mathscr{E}^{n,m}$ from $\mathrm{Sm}_k^{\mathrm{op}}$ to the category of abelian groups by

$$\mathscr{E}^{n,m}(X) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}(k)}(\Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{P}^1} X_+, \Sigma^{n-m}_{S^1}\Sigma^m_{\mathbb{G}_m}\mathscr{E}).$$

For simplicity we denote $\bigoplus_{n,m} \mathscr{E}^{n,m}(X)$ by $\mathscr{E}^{*,*}(X)$, and $\bigoplus_n \mathscr{E}^{2n,n}(X)$ by $\mathscr{E}^*(X)$. Recall that $\mathrm{MGL}^*(k)$ is isomorphic to the Lazard ring $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{az}$.

2.1. **The mod-l motivic Steenrod algebra.** In this section, we recall some basic facts of the motivic Steenrod algebra $A^{*,*}$ introduced by Voevodsky in [Voev03]. For the rest of the paper, let l be an odd prime different from $\mathrm{char}(k)$. In this case, $A^{*,*}$ has similar behavior as its topological counterpart. Nevertheless, for the convenience of the readers, we collect the properties relevant to us.

Let $H^{*,*}(X, \mathbb{Z}/l) := \bigoplus_{p,q\in\mathbb{Z}} H^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}/l)$ be the motivic cohomology of a smooth scheme X with $\mathbb{Z}/l$-coefficients, and let $H^{*,*} := H^{*,*}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Z}/l)$. We have the following vanishing theorem.

Theorem 2.1. [MVW06] *For every smooth variety X and any abelian group G, we have $H^{p,q}(X, G) = 0$, if $p > q + \dim(X)$ or $p > 2q$.*

Let $A^{*,*} := A^{*,*}(k, \mathbb{Z}/l)$ be the mod-l *motivic Steenrod algebra*. By definition, $A^{*,*}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(H^{*,*})$ generated by the motivic Steenrod operator $P^i, i \geq 0$, the Bockstein homomorphism β, and operators of the form $u \mapsto au$, where $a \in H^{*,*}$. Recall the following Milnor's basis [M58], [Voev03, Section 13]

$$\{\rho(E,R) = Q(E)P^R \in A^{*,*} \mid R = (r_1, r_2, \cdots), E = (\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \cdots)\},$$

where R and E are two sequences of integers which are non-zero for finitely many r_i, ϵ_i, such that $r_i \geq 0$, and $\epsilon_i = 0$ or 1. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$Q_r := Q(0, \cdots, 0, 1, 0, \cdots), \text{and } P^{e_r} := P^{(0,\cdots,0,1,0,\cdots)}, \text{ where 1 is on the } r\text{-th place .}$$

In particular, $P^{(t,0,\cdots,0)}$ is the operator of Steenrod powers P^t, and $Q_0 = \beta$ is the Bockstein homomorphism. These have similar properties as their topological counterparts.

Lemma 2.2. *The motivic Steenrod algebra $A^{*,*}$ as a left $H^{*,*}$-algebra, has generators Q_r, for $r \geq 0$ and P^{e_r}, for $r \geq 1$. Furthermore, the following properties hold.*

1. *The bidegree of Q_r is $(2l^r - 1, l^r - 1)$, and the bidegree of P^{e_r} is $(2(l^r - 1), (l^r - 1))$.*
2. *The set of monomials in $\{P^{e_r}\}$ and $\{Q_r\}$ in a suitably defined order form a basis of the left module over $H^{*,*}$.*
3. *The elements Q_r anti-commute.*
4. *(The Cartan formulas). Let Δ be the coproduct of $A^{*,*}$, then*

$$\Delta(Q_i) = Q_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i} \sum_{E_1+E_2=[i-j+1,i-1]} \rho^j Q_{i-j}Q(E_1) \otimes Q_{i-j}Q(E_2),$$

$$\Delta(P^R) = \sum_{E=(\epsilon_0,\epsilon_1,\cdots)} \sum_{R_1+R_2=R-E} \tau^{\sum_{i\geq 0}\epsilon_i} Q(E)P^{R_1} \otimes Q(E)P^{R_2}.$$

Note that, when the field k is algebraically closed, we have $H^{*,*} = \mathbb{Z}/l[\tau]$. In this case, $\Delta(Q_i) = Q_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_i$.

3. Modules over the motivic Steenrod algebra

3.1. **A quotient of the Steenrod algebra.** Let $B \subset A^{*,*}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho]$-subalgebra generated by $\{Q_i\}_{i\geq 0}$. Let $M_B := A^{*,*}/A^{*,*}(Q_0, Q_1, \cdots)$ be the quotient of $A^{*,*}$ by the left ideal generated by $(Q_0, Q_1, \cdots)$. From Lemma 2.2 (2) we know that M_B, is isomorphic as a left $H^{*,*}$-module, to the polynomial ring $M_B = H^{*,*}[P^{e_1}, P^{e_2}, P^{e_3}, \cdots]$.

By the same argument as in [Nov62, Lemma 8], one has the following.

Lemma 3.1. *There is an isomorphism of graded abelian groups*

$$\bigoplus_{s,t,u} \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{s,(s+t,u)}(M_B, H^{*,*}) \cong \bigoplus_{s,t,u} \mathrm{Ext}_{B}^{s,(s+t,u)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], H^{*,*}).$$

We now compute the right hand of the isomorphism in Lemma 3.1. By Lemma 2.2, $\{Q_r\}_{r\geq 0}$ are anti-commutative. Therefore, B is an exterior algebra over $\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho]$ on $\{Q_r\}$. Let V be the $\mathbb{Z}/l$-vector space spanned by $\{Q_r\}_{r\geq 0}$. We have the following Koszul resolution of $\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho]$ by free B-modules:

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{Sym}^2 V^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l} B \to V^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l} B \to B \to \mathbb{Z}/l[\rho] \to 0. \tag{1}$$

Lemma 3.2. (1) *For any u, we have $\mathrm{Ext}_{B}^{s,(s+t,u)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], H^{*,*}) = 0$ if $t > 2u$.*

(2) $\bigoplus_{s,u} \mathrm{Ext}_B^{s,(s+2u,u)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], H^{*,*}) = \mathbb{Z}/l[h'_r]_{r\geq 0}$, *where* $\deg(h'_r) = (1, (2l^r - 1, l^r - 1))$.

Proof. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_B(-, H^{*,*})$ to the Koszul resolution (1) of $\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho]$, one deduces that $\mathrm{Ext}_B^{s,(*,*)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], H^{*,*})$ is a subquotient of $H^{*,*} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \mathrm{Sym}^s V$. Recall the basis element Q_r of V has $\deg(Q_r) = (2l^r - 1, l^r - 1)$. Therefore, $\deg(\mathrm{Sym}^s V) = (2\sum_{i=1}^s l^{r_i} - s, \sum_{i=1}^s l^{r_i} - s)$, for $r_1, \cdots, r_s \in \mathbb{N}$. The part of $H^{p,q} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^s V$ that contributes to $\mathrm{Ext}^{(s,(s+t,u))}$ satisfies

$$(s + t, u) = (2\sum_{i=1}^{s} l^{r_i} - s + p, \sum_{i=1}^{s} l^{r_i} - s + q).$$

It implies $t - 2u = p - 2q$. By Theorem 2.1, we know that $H^{p,q} = 0$, if $p > q$. This forces $t \leq 2u$, and $t = 2u$ iff $p = q = 0$. This implies assertion (1).

We now prove (2). The short exact sequence of B-modules $0 \to \mathbb{Z}/l[\rho] \to H^{*,*} \to H'^{*,*} \to 0$ induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{Ext}_B^{s-1,(s+t,u)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], H'^{*,*}) \to \mathrm{Ext}_B^{s,(s+t,u)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], \mathbb{Z}/l[\rho]) \to \mathrm{Ext}_B^{s,(s+t,u)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], H^{*,*})$$
$$\to \mathrm{Ext}_B^{s,(s+t,u)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], H'^{*,*}) \to \cdots$$

The same degree consideration as before shows $\mathrm{Ext}_B^{s,(s+t,u)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], H'^{*,*}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_B^{s-1,(s+t,u)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], H'^{*,*}) = 0$ whenever $t \geq 2u$. Hence, $\bigoplus_s \mathrm{Ext}_B^{s,(s+2u,u)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], \mathbb{Z}/l[\rho]) \cong \bigoplus_s \mathrm{Ext}_B^{s,(s+2u,u)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], H^{*,*})$.

Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_B(-, \mathbb{Z}/l[\rho])$ to the Koszul resolution (1) of $\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho]$, the morphisms of the resulting complex are all zero. Therefore, we have the isomorphism of algebras

$$\mathrm{Ext}_B^{*,(*,*)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], \mathbb{Z}/l[\rho]) \cong \bigoplus_{s\geq 0} \mathrm{Sym}^s(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l} \mathbb{Z}/l[\rho].$$

In particular, the polynomial generators of $\mathrm{Ext}_B^{*,(*,*)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], \mathbb{Z}/l[\rho])$ are $1 \in \mathrm{Ext}_B^{0,(0,0)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], \mathbb{Z}/l[\rho])$, $\rho \in \mathrm{Ext}_B^{0,(1,1)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], \mathbb{Z}/l[\rho])$, and $h'_r \in \mathrm{Ext}_B^{1,(2l^r-1,l^r-1)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], \mathbb{Z}/l[\rho])$ for $r \geq 0$. □

We honor the conventions in [Voev03], hence, all tensors over $H^{*,*}$ are tensors as left $H^{*,*}$-modules. As remarked in [Voev03], the subring $H^{*,*}$ is not in the center of $A^{*,*}$. Consequently, the ring structure on $A^{*,*} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l} A^{*,*}$ does not define a ring structure on $A^{*,*} \otimes_{H^{*,*}} A^{*,*}$. Instead, the set of operator-like elements $(A^{*,*} \otimes_{H^{*,*}} A^{*,*})_r \subset A^{*,*} \otimes_{H^{*,*}} A^{*,*}$ is a ring; and the coproduct $\Psi^* : A^{*,*} \to (A^{*,*} \otimes_{H^{*,*}} A^{*,*})_r$ is a ring homomorphism. Moreover, there is an action of $A^{*,*} \otimes_{H^{*,*}} A^{*,*}$ on $A^{*,*} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l} A^{*,*}$ with values in $A^{*,*} \otimes_{H^{*,*}} A^{*,*}$ given by

$$(u \otimes v)(u' \otimes v') = uu' \otimes vv',$$

which induces an $(A^{*,*} \otimes_{H^{*,*}} A^{*,*})_r$-module structure on $A^{*,*} \otimes_{H^{*,*}} A^{*,*}$. It is easy to verify, using Lemma 2.2, that the coproduct of $A^{*,*}$ descents to $M_B \to M_B \otimes_{H^{*,*}} M_B$, which is an $A^{*,*}$-module homomorphism with the $A^{*,*}$-module structure on $M_B \otimes_{H^{*,*}} M_B$ induced from the action of $(A^{*,*} \otimes_{H^{*,*}} A^{*,*})_r$ on $M_B \otimes_{H^{*,*}} M_B$.

We now study the algebra structure of objects in Lemma 3.1 and show the following.

Lemma 3.3. *The $A^{*,*}$-module homomorphism $M_B \to M_B \otimes_{H^{*,*}} M_B$ induces a ring structure on $\mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{*(*,*)}(M_B, H^{*,*})$.*

In particular, the isomorphism in Lemma 3.1 is an isomorphism of graded algebras.

Proof. We explain the ring structure on $\mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{*(*,*)}(M_B, H^{*,*})$.

The multiplication $m : H^{*,*} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l} H^{*,*} \to H^{*,*}$ is an $A^{*,*}$-module homomorphism. By the definition of Ψ^*, we have the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{*(*,*)}(M_B, H^{*,*}) \otimes_{H^{*,*}} \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{*(*,*)}(M_B, H^{*,*}) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{*(*,*)}(M_B \otimes_{H^{*,*}} M_B, H^{*,*}). \tag{2}$$

We also have by functoriality the induced map

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{*(*,*)}(M_B \otimes_{H^{*,*}} M_B, H^{*,*}) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{*(*,*)}(M_B, H^{*,*}). \tag{3}$$

The product on $\mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{*(*,*)}(M_B, H^{*,*})$ we are looking for is the composite of (2) and (3). The associativity follows from the coassociativity of Ψ^*.

The ring structure on $\mathrm{Ext}_B^{*,(*,*)}(\mathbb{Z}/l[\rho], H^{*,*})$ is induced from the coproduct of B, which is given by the Cartan formula in Lemma 2.2. The subalgebra B is closed under the coproduct of $A^{*,*}$. Hence, the claim follows. □

3.2. **The Ext-algebras.** We have the following modules of $A^{*,*}$.

$$H^{*,*} := H^{*,*}(k, \mathbb{Z}/l), \quad H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}) := H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}, \mathbb{Z}/l), \quad \text{and } H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL}) := H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL}, \mathbb{Z}/l).$$

In this section, we study in detail those modules. The main result of this section is the following.

Proposition 3.4. (1) *We have* $\mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{s,(s+t,u)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL}), H^{*,*}) = 0$, *for* $t > 2u$. *Moreover, the algebra* $\bigoplus_u \bigoplus_s \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{s,(s+2u,u)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL}), H^{*,*})$ *is isomorphic to the free polynomial ring in the following generators:*

$$1 \in \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{0,(0,0)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL}), H^{*,*}); \ h'_r \in \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{1,(2l^r-1,l^r-1)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL}), H^{*,*}), \ r \geq 0;$$

$$z_{(2k)} \in \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{0,(2k,k)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL}), H^{*,*}), \ k \neq l^r - 1, \ r \geq 0.$$

(2) *We have* $\mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{s,(s+t,u)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}), H^{*,*}) = 0$ *for* $t > 2u$.

Moreover, the algebra $\bigoplus_u \bigoplus_s \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{s,(s+2u,u)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}), H^{*,*})$ *is isomorphic to the free polynomial ring in the following generators:*

$$1 \in \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{0,(0,0)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}), H^{*,*}); \ h'_r \in \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{1,(2l^r-1,l^r-1)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}), H^{*,*}), \ r \geq 0;$$

$$z_{(k)} \in \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{0,(2k,k)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}), H^{*,*}), \ k \neq l^r, \ l^r - 1, \ r \geq 0;$$

$$z_{(\mathrm{IO}_r)} \in \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{0,(2l^{r+1},l^{r+1})}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}), H^{*,*}), \ r \geq 0, \ \mathrm{IO}_r = \frac{1}{l^{n_r}}(l^{r+1}).^1$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3.4, following an argument similar to [Nov62].

We say a partition $\mathrm{IO} = (\mathrm{IO}_1, \cdots, \mathrm{IO}_k \cdots)$ is *l-adic*, if $\mathrm{IO}_i = l^s - 1$ for some s and i. We say a partition $\mathrm{IO} = (\mathrm{IO}_1, \cdots, \mathrm{IO}_k, \cdots)$ is *l-admissible*, if for each r, the number of terms i with $\mathrm{IO}_i = l^r$ divides l. The set of all non-l-adic partitions will be denoted by P, and the set of all l-admissible partitions by P_l.

Let A^{top} be the topological Steenrod algebra, and $H^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{MGL})$ the cohomology of MGL with $\mathbb{Z}/l$ coefficient. Similarly we have the A^{top}-module M_B^{top}. It is a well-known fact that $H^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{MGL})$ is a direct sum of cyclic modules over A^{top}: $H^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{MGL}) \cong \bigoplus_{\mathrm{IO} \in P} M_B^{\mathrm{top}} u_{\mathrm{IO}}$, where each summand $M_B^{\mathrm{top}} u_{\mathrm{IO}}$

[1]The number n_r is explained in [Nov62]. Using the symmetric polynomial identity $\sum t_i^{p^{r+1}} = p^{n_r} \sum \lambda_{r,i} \mathrm{IO}_{r,i}$ provided $\sum t_i = 0$, where n_r is maximal and $\mathrm{IO}_{r,i} = (p^r, \cdots, p^r), \lambda_{r,1} \neq 0$.

is isomorphic to M_B^{top}, with generator u_ω in $\deg(u_\omega) = 2|\omega|$. Similarly, we have the isomorphism $H^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{MSL}) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega\in P_l} M_B^{\mathrm{top}} u_\omega$ of A^{top}–modules.

Recall that $H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL})$ is generated by Chern classes. Hence, for any partition ω, there is a well-defined monomial symmetric function $u_\omega \in H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL})$. We have the $A^{*,*}$–module homomorphism

$$\Phi_\omega : M_B u_\omega \to H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL}),\ \ a\cdot u_\omega \mapsto a(u_\omega),$$

where the element u_ω has bidegree $(2|\omega|, |\omega|)$. Similarly, if $\omega \in P_l$ is l-admissible, we have the module homomorphism $\Phi_\omega : M_B u_\omega \to H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL})$. As in the topological setting, we have the following

Lemma 3.5. *As $A^{*,*}$-modules, we have the following isomorphisms induced by Φ_ω*

$$H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL}) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega\in P} M_B u_\omega,\ \ H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega\in P_l} M_B u_\omega.$$

Proof. The arguments for the two isomorphisms are similar. For simplicity, we will only prove the second one and leave the first to the readers.

We check the map $\Phi : \bigoplus_{\omega\in P_l} M_B u_\omega \to H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL})$ induced by $\{\Phi_\omega\}$ is an isomorphism. Let $R^{\mathrm{top}} : H^{2*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}) \to H^{\mathrm{top}}_{\mathrm{MSL}}$ be the topological realization, which is an isomorphism. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{\omega\in P_l}(M_B\otimes_{H^{*,*}}\mathbb{Z}/l)u_\omega & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \bigoplus_{\omega\in P_l}(M_B^{\mathrm{top}})u_\omega \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi\otimes_{H^{*,*}}\mathbb{Z}/l} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong\ \Phi^{\mathrm{top}}} \\ H^{2*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{R^{\mathrm{top}}} & H^{\mathrm{top}}_{\mathrm{MSL}} \end{array}$$

In the diagram, all the other three morphisms are isomorphism. Therefore, $\Phi\otimes_{H^{*,*}}\mathbb{Z}/l$ is also an isomorphism. By Nakayama Lemma, we deduce that Φ is surjective. Recall that $H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}) \cong H^{*,*}[c_2, c_3, \dots]$, which in particular is a free $H^{*,*}$-module. Therefore, using Nakayama Lemma again, and taking into account the fact that $\ker(\Phi\otimes_{H^{*,*}}\mathbb{Z}/l) = 0$, we see that $\ker\Phi = 0$. This completes the proof. □

Similar to Lemma 3.3, the multiplication $\mathrm{MSL}\wedge\mathrm{MSL} \to \mathrm{MSL}$ induces a map $H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}) \to H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL})\otimes_{H^{*,*}} H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL})$ of $A^{*,*}$-modules. By Lemma 3.5, it induces the bottom map of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL})\otimes_{H^{*,*}} H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{\omega\in P_l} M_B u_\omega & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & (\bigoplus_{\omega\in P_l} M_B u_\omega)\otimes_{H^{*,*}} (\bigoplus_{\omega\in P_l} M_B u_\omega), \end{array}$$

where

$$\Delta(u_\omega) = \sum_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)=\omega,\omega_1\neq\omega_2} [u_{\omega_1}\otimes u_{\omega_2} + u_{\omega_2}\otimes u_{\omega_1}] + \sum_{(\omega_1,\omega_1)=\omega} u_{\omega_1}\otimes u_{\omega_1} \tag{4}$$

modulo the augmentation ideal $\ker(H^{*,*} \to \mathbb{Z}/l)$.

Let $z_\omega \in \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{0,(2|\omega|,|\omega|)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}), H^{*,*}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{A^{*,*}}^{(2|\omega|,|\omega|)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}), H^{*,*})$ be elements such that $(z_\omega, u_{\omega'}) = \delta_{\omega,\omega'}$. Hence, in the algebra $\bigoplus_u \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{0,(2u,u)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}), H^{*,*})$, one has the relation $z_\omega z_{\omega'} = z_{(\omega,\omega')}$ modulo the ideal $\ker(H^{*,*} \to \mathbb{Z}/l)$. Now Proposition 3.4 follows from the above lemmas.

4. The motivic Adams spectral sequence of MSL

4.1. **Some completions of** MGL**.** Let X be any motivic spectrum, we construct a tower C_X under X in the following way. Let E be any commutative ring spectrum. Let $\overline{E}$ be the homotopy fiber of $\mathbb{S} \to E$. Hence, we have a triangle

$$\overline{E} \to \mathbb{S} \to E. \tag{5}$$

Let $\overline{E}^s$ be $\overline{E} \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{E}$ (s-times). Smashing (5) with $\overline{E}^{\wedge s} \wedge X$, we get

$$\overline{E}^{\wedge s+1} \wedge X \to \overline{E}^{\wedge s} \wedge X \to E \wedge \overline{E}^{\wedge s} \wedge X.$$

Write $X_s := \overline{E}^{\wedge s} \wedge X$, and $W'_s = E \wedge X_s$, then, the above triangle becomes

$$X_{s+1} \to X_s \to W'_s.$$

Let C_{s-1} be the homotopy cofiber of $X_s \to X_0$. Then, there are induced maps $C_s \to C_{s-1}$, and the cofiber of this map is $\sum^{(1,0)} W'_s$. One gets a tower under X of the form

$$X \to \cdots \to C_2 \to C_1 \to C_0. \tag{6}$$

The homotopy limit of the above tower is called the *E-nilpotent completion* of X, denoted by X^{nil}_E.

Let $X^\wedge_l$ be the homotopy inverse limit of the system $X/(l^n) \to X/(l^{n-1})$, where $X/(l^n)$ is the homotopy cofiber of the multiplication map $l^n : X \to X$. Let $(X^*)^\wedge_l$ be the l-adic completion of the abelian group X^*.

Let $E = H\mathbb{Z}/l$ be the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum, and $X = \mathrm{MGL}$. The main theorem of § 4.1 is the following.

Proposition 4.1. *We have the isomorphism* $(\mathrm{MGL}^{2*,*})^\wedge_l \cong (\mathrm{MGL}^{\mathrm{nil}}_{H\mathbb{Z}/l})^{2*,*}$.

By Theorem C, $\mathrm{MGL}^{\mathrm{nil}}_E$ is isomorphic to the completion $\mathrm{MGL}^\wedge_{l,\eta}$ of MGL at l and η. Proposition 4.1 follows from the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *We have* $(\mathrm{MGL}^\wedge_\eta)^* = \mathrm{MGL}^*$. *In particular, the map* MSL $\to$ MGL *induces a map* $(\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta)^* \to \mathrm{MGL}^*$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the multiplication by η is a zero map on MGL. □

Lemma 4.3. *We have* $(\mathrm{MGL}^{2*,*})^\wedge_l \cong (\mathrm{MGL}^\wedge_l)^{2*,*}$.

Proof. We need to show $\varprojlim_n ((\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{2*,*}) \cong (\mathrm{MGL}^{2*,*})^\wedge_l$ and $(\varprojlim_n \mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{2*,*} \cong \varprojlim_n ((\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{2*,*})$. For simplicity, we write the l^n torsion elements in any abelian group A as ${}_{l^n\text{-tors}}(A)$.

Multiplication by l induces the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{MGL} & \xrightarrow{l^n} & \mathrm{MGL} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{MGL}/(l^n) & \xrightarrow{[1]} & \Sigma^{1,0}\mathrm{MGL} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{MGL} & \xrightarrow{l^{n-1}} & \mathrm{MGL} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{MGL}/(l^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{[1]} & \Sigma^{1,0}\mathrm{MGL} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array} \tag{7}$$

Applying the functor $[\mathbb{S}, \Sigma^{s,t}-]$ to (7), we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{MGL}^{s,t}/l^n & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{s,t} & \longrightarrow & {}_{l^n\text{-tors}}(\mathrm{MGL}^{s+1,t}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{MGL}^{s,t}/l^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{MGL}/l^{n-1})^{s,t} & \longrightarrow & {}_{l^{n-1}\text{-tors}}(\mathrm{MGL}^{s+1,t}) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array} \tag{8}$$

Taking $\varprojlim_n$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to \varprojlim_n(\mathrm{MGL}^{s,t}/l^n) \to \varprojlim_n((\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{s,t}) \to \varprojlim_n({}_{l^n\text{-tors}}(\mathrm{MGL}^{s+1,t})) \to \varprojlim{}^1(\mathrm{MGL}^{s+1,t}/l^n) \tag{9}$$

Using the fact that $\mathrm{MGL}^{2t+1,t} = 0$, we conclude the isomorphism $\varprojlim_n(\mathrm{MGL}^{2*,*}/l^n) \cong \varprojlim_n((\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{2*,*})$.

Taking $(s,t) = (2m-1,m)$ in (8), the third term, the l^n-torsions in the Lazard ring $\mathrm{MGL}^{2m,m}$, is zero. Therefore, the system $\{(\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{2m-1,m}\}$ is isomorphic to $\{\mathrm{MGL}^{2m-1,m}/l^n\}$, which is a surjective system, and in particular has the Mittag-Leffler property. Hence, $\varprojlim^1_n(\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{2m-1,m} = 0$. Using the following short exact sequence

$$0 \to \varprojlim{}^1_n((\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{s-1,t}) \to (\varprojlim_n \mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{s,t} \to \varprojlim_n((\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{s,t}) \to 0, \tag{10}$$

we conclude that $(\varprojlim_n \mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{2*,*} \cong \varprojlim_n((\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{2*,*})$. This concludes the proof. □

4.2. **The motivic Adams spectral sequence.** In § 4.2, we recall some facts from [DI10].

Let X be any motivic spectrum. The (homological) mod-l motivic Adams spectral sequence for X is the spectral sequence of the tower (6). In [DI10], a *cohomological* mod-l motivic Adams spectral sequence for X was introduced, whose E_2-page is

$$E_2^{s,t,u} = \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{s,(s+t,u)}(H^{*,*}(X), H^{*,*}), \tag{11}$$

and differential d_r goes from $E_r^{s,t,u}$ to $E_r^{s+r,t-1,u}$. Here the degree s is the homological degree, $t+s$ is the dimension, and u is the motivic weight.

For any motivic symmetric ring spectrum E, let $\langle\mathbb{S}\rangle_E$ be the full subcategory $\langle\mathbb{S}\rangle_E$ of *E-cellular spectra*. By definition, $\langle\mathbb{S}\rangle_E$ is the smallest full subcategory containing $\mathbb{S}$, closed under taking homotopy cofibers and arbitrary wedges, and satisfies the property that if $A \in \langle\mathbb{S}\rangle_E$, then $A \wedge E \in \langle\mathbb{S}\rangle_E$.

Proposition 4.4 ([DI10], Remark 6.11, Proposition 6.14)**.** *Assume X is a $H\mathbb{Z}/l$-cellular spectrum satisfying*

1. *$H^{*,*}(X, \mathbb{Z}/l)$ is a free module over $H^{*,*}$;*
2. *all the homotopy cofibers of the "naive" Adams tower under X ([DI10, 3]) are motivically finite type wedges of E ([DI10, 2.11]);*
3. *the natural map $H_{*,*}(X, \mathbb{Z}/l) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{H^{*,*}}(H^{*,*}(X, \mathbb{Z}/l), H^{*,*})$ is an isomorphism.*

Then, the homological and cohomological motivic Adams spectral sequences are isomorphic from E_2-page onward. In particular, its E_2-page is given by (11).

If in addition $\varprojlim^1_r E_r^{s,t,u}(X) = 0$ for each (s,t,u), then the spectral sequence converges completely to $\pi_{*,*}(X_E^{\mathrm{nil}})$. That is, there is a natural filtration $F_s\pi_{*,*}(X_E^{\mathrm{nil}})$ such that the natural map

$$F_s\pi_{*,*}(X_E^{\mathrm{nil}})/F_{s+1}\pi_{*,*}(X_E^{\mathrm{nil}}) \to E_\infty^{s,*,*}(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

4.3. **Vanishing of differentials.** Now we apply Proposition 4.4 to the case when X is MGL or MSL.

It is easy to verify that $X = \mathrm{MGL}$ or MSL is a cellular spectrum, satisfying conditions of Proposition 4.4, and the Mittag-Leffler condition holds for the system $\{E_r^{s,t,u}(X)\}_{r\geq 2}$. Therefore, the E_2 page $\mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{s,t,u}(H^{*,*}(X), H^{*,*})$ coverages to $\pi_{*,*}(X_E^{\mathrm{nil}}) \cong \pi_{*,*}(X^\wedge_{l,\eta})$ by Theorem A.1. In a special case if the degree is $(2*,*)$, and $X = \mathrm{MGL}$, we have $(\mathrm{MGL}_E^{\mathrm{nil}})^{2*,*} \cong (\mathrm{MGL}^{2*,*})^\wedge_l$ by Proposition 4.1.

The geometric part $\mathrm{MGL}^{2*,*}$ of MGL has been studied. It is known that $\mathrm{MGL}^{2*,*}(k)[\frac{1}{p}]$ is isomorphic to the localization of the Lazard ring at p, which is a free polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$.

Denote $E_r^{t,u}(X) := \bigoplus_{s\geq 0} E_r^{s,t,u}(X)$. We are interested in the case when $X = \mathrm{MGL}$ or MSL, where $E_2^{s,t,u}(X) = \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{s,s+t,u}(H^{*,*}(X), H^{*,*})$. The differential d_r of the motivic Adams spectral sequence sends $E_r^{t,u}(X)$ to $E_r^{t-1,u}(X)$. The restriction of d_r to $E_r^{t,u}(X)$ is denoted by $d_r^{X,t,u}$, or simply by $d_r^{t,u}$ if X is understood from the context.

Proposition 4.5. *For $r \geq 2$, the differentials $d_r^{\mathrm{MGL},2u+1,u}$ and $d_r^{\mathrm{MGL},2u,u}$ vanish on the motivic Adams spectral sequence of* MGL.

Proof. Comparing the E_2-page described in Proposition 3.4 and the geometric part $\mathrm{MGL}^{2*,*}$, we get $E_2^{2u,u}(\mathrm{MGL}) \cong E_\infty^{2u,u}(\mathrm{MGL})$. Therefore, any differentials going into $E_r^{2u,u}(\mathrm{MGL})$ and going from $E_r^{2u,u}(\mathrm{MGL})$ vanish for all $r \geq 2$. □

We have the following similar result for MSL.

Proposition 4.6. *For $r \geq 2$, the differentials $d_r^{\mathrm{MSL},2u+1,u}$ and $d_r^{\mathrm{MSL},2u,u}$ vanish on the motivic Adams spectral sequence for* MSL. *As a consequence,* $E_2^{2u,u}(\mathrm{MSL}) \cong E_\infty^{2u,u}(\mathrm{MSL})$.

Proof. The morphism $\phi : \mathrm{MSL} \to \mathrm{MGL}$ induces the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_r^{t,u}(\mathrm{MSL}) & \xrightarrow{d_r^{\mathrm{MSL}}} & E_r^{t-1,u}(\mathrm{MSL}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} \\ E_r^{t,u}(\mathrm{MGL}) & \xrightarrow{d_r^{\mathrm{MGL}}} & E_r^{t-1,u}(\mathrm{MGL}). \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 imply that $H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL})$ is a direct summand of $H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL})$ as modules over $A^{*,*}$. Therefore, the map $\phi : \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{s,(t+s,u)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MSL}), H^{*,*}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{A^{*,*}}^{s,(t+s,u)}(H^{*,*}(\mathrm{MGL}), H^{*,*})$ is injective, for any (s,t,u). In particular, $\phi : E_2^{2u,u}(\mathrm{MSL}) \hookrightarrow E_2^{2u,u}(\mathrm{MGL})$ is injective. As the differential $d_r^{\mathrm{MGL},2u,u}$ vanishes, so is the differential $d_r^{\mathrm{MSL},2u,u}$.

The differential $d_r^{\mathrm{MSL},2u+1,u}$ vanishes by the vanishing line in Proposition 3.4, i.e., $E_2^{s,(t,u)}(\mathrm{MSL}) = 0$ for $t > 2u$. □

4.4. **The coefficient rings.**

Lemma 4.7. *For any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

(1) $((\mathrm{MSL}_\eta^\wedge)^{2s,s})_l^\wedge \cong (\mathrm{MSL}_{\eta,l}^\wedge)^{2s,s}$;
(2) $((\mathrm{MSL}_\eta^\wedge)^{2s,s})_l^\wedge \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{MGL})^{2s,s})_l^\wedge$.

Proof. By the E_2-page of motivic Adams spectral sequence described in Proposition 3.4, and the convergence Theorem C, we have $(\mathrm{MSL}_{\eta,l}^\wedge)^{2s+1,s} = 0$.

Using the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \varprojlim_n {}^1(\mathrm{MSL}_\eta^\wedge/l^n)^{s-1,t} \to (\varprojlim_n \mathrm{MSL}_\eta^\wedge/l^n)^{s,t} \to \varprojlim_n (\mathrm{MSL}_\eta^\wedge/l^n)^{s,t} \to 0,$$

we get a surjection $(\mathrm{MSL}_{\eta,l}^\wedge)^{2s+1,s} \twoheadrightarrow \varprojlim_n (\mathrm{MSL}_\eta^\wedge/l^n)^{2s+1,s}$ for any s, hence, $\varprojlim_n (\mathrm{MSL}_\eta^\wedge/l^n)^{2s+1,s} = 0$.

Using the diagram (8) with MGL replaced by $\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \varprojlim_n (\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta)^{s,t}/l^n \to \varprojlim_n (\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta/l^n)^{s,t} \to \varprojlim_n {}_{l^n\text{-tors}}(\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta)^{s+1,t} \to \varprojlim_n {}^1(\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta)^{s+1,t}/l^n. \tag{12}$$

In particular, we have an injection $\varprojlim_n (\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta)^{2s+1,s}/l^n \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_n (\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta/l^n)^{2s+1,s}$ for any s, hence $\varprojlim_n (\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta)^{2s+1,s}/l^n = 0$. Note that this is true for any l. Therefore $(\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta)^{2s+1,s} = 0$. Using (12) again with different indices, we get an isomorphism $((\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta)^{2s,s})^\wedge_l \cong \varprojlim_n (\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta/l^n)^{2s,s}$.

In the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_n {}^1(\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta/l^n)^{2s-1,s} & \longrightarrow & (\varprojlim_n \mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta/l^n)^{2s,s} & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_n (\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta/l^n)^{2s,s} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_n {}^1(\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{2s-1,s} & \longrightarrow & (\varprojlim_n \mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{2s,s} & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_n (\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{2s,s} & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}$$

the middle map $(\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_{\eta,l})^{2s,s} \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{MGL}^\wedge_l)^{2s,s}$ is injective by Propositions 4.5, 4.6, and Theorem C. Also, by the proof of Lemma 4.3, $\varprojlim_n {}^1(\mathrm{MGL}/l^n)^{2s-1,s} = 0$. Therefore, $\varprojlim_n (\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta/l^n)^{2s,s} \cong (\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_{\eta,l})^{2s,s}$. This proves (1).

The embedding $(\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_{\eta,l})^{2s,s} \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{MGL}^\wedge_l)^{2s,s}$, together with (1) and Lemma 4.3, implies (2). □

Proof of Theorem B. By Proposition 4.6, we know $\bigoplus_u E_\infty^{2u,u}(\mathrm{MSL})$ is a free polynomial ring whose generators are described in Proposition 3.4. By Propositions 4.4 and Theorem C, $\bigoplus_u E_\infty^{2u,u}(\mathrm{MSL})$ is the associated graded ring of $(\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^\wedge_{l,\eta})^{2*,*} \cong ((\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^\wedge_\eta)^{2*,*})^\wedge_l$ with filtration induced by multiplication by l. Theorem B (1) now follows from [Sw75, Lemma 20.29] (which still holds with coefficients replaced by $\mathbb{Z}[1/2p]$).

As the polynomial generators of $(\mathrm{MSL}^\wedge_\eta[1/2p])^{2*,*}$ have the same characterization as in the topological case, Theorem B(2) follows from [Nov62, Theorem 8]. □

5. Elliptic genus of MSL-varieties

In this section, we use [LYZ13] and Theorem B to prove Theorem A.

5.1. **Summary of previous work.** In [LYZ13], we studied the algebraic Krichever's elliptic genus $\phi : \mathbb{L}\mathrm{az} \to \mathrm{Ell}$, where Ell is $Z[a_1, a_2, a_3, 1/2a_4]$ with an explicit family of elliptic curves defined on it, and ϕ is given by the Baker-Akhiezer function [LYZ13, (3.1)].

Theorem 5.1 ([LYZ13])**.** *Let k be a perfect field of exponential characteristic p. The oriented cohomology theory on Sm_k in the sense of* [LM07] *sending*

$$X \mapsto \mathrm{MGL}^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}\mathrm{az}} \mathrm{Ell}[1/2p][\Delta^{-1}],$$

is represented by a motivic oriented cohomology theory on Sm_k in the sense of [PS03]*. Here Δ is the discriminant.*

This theorem gives a well-defined notion of the Krichever's elliptic cohomology of a variety X with coefficient $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$.

Let $\mathrm{MGL}^*_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ell}^*_{\mathbb{Q}}$) be the MGL-cobordism theory (resp. elliptic cohomology theory) with rational coefficients. The main focus of [LYZ13] is to study $\phi_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathrm{MGL}^*_{\mathbb{Q}}(k) \to \mathrm{Ell}^*_{\mathbb{Q}}(k)$ when k is an

arbitrary perfect field. Recall that two smooth projective n-folds X_1 and X_2 are related by a flop if we have the following diagram of projective birational morphisms:

(13)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \widetilde{X} & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ X_1 & & \overset{p_1}{} \quad \overset{p_2}{} & & X_2 \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ & & Y & & \end{array}$$

Here Y is a singular projective n-fold with singular locus Z, such that Z is smooth of dimension $n-2k+1$. We assume in addition that there exist rank k vector bundles A and B on Z, such that the exceptional locus F_1 in X_1 is the $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}$-bundle $\mathbb{P}(A)$ over Z, with normal bundle $N_{F_1}X_1 = B\otimes \mathcal{O}_A(-1)$. Similarly, the exceptional locus F_2 in X_2 is $\mathbb{P}(B)$, with normal bundle $N_{F_2}X_2 = A\otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-1)$. Let $Q^3\subset\mathbb{P}^4$ denote the 3-dimensional quadric with an ordinary double point v, defined by the equation $x_1x_2 = x_3x_4$. We say that X_1 and X_2 are related by a *classical* flop if in addition $k=2$, and along Z, (Y,Z) is *Zariski* locally isomorphic to $(Q^3\times Z, v\times Z)$.

Let $\mathcal{I}_{fl}\subseteq \mathrm{MGL}[1/p]^*$ be the ideal generated by differences of flops.

Theorem 5.2 ([LYZ13])**.** *The kernel of the algebraic elliptic genus $\phi_{\mathbb{Q}}$: $\mathrm{MGL}^*_{\mathbb{Q}}(k)\to \mathrm{Ell}^*_{\mathbb{Q}}(k)$ is $\mathcal{I}_{fl}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}\mathbb{Q}$, and its image is the free polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4]$.*

In particular, $\mathcal{I}_{fl}\subseteq \ker\phi$. It is shown that the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{fl}$ is also generated by the differences of classical flops.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem A.** Recall the restriction $\phi[1/2p]:\mathrm{MGL}[1/2p]^*\to \mathrm{Ell}[1/2p]$ to $(\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^\wedge_\eta)^*\hookrightarrow \mathrm{MGL}[1/2p]^*$ is denoted by $\overline{\phi}$. The ideal of SL-flops $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{SL}}_{fl}\subseteq(\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^\wedge_\eta)^*$ is $(\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^\wedge_\eta)^*\bigcap \mathcal{I}_{fl}[1/2p]$. Then, Theorem 5.2 implies that $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{SL}}_{fl}\subseteq\ker\overline{\phi}$.

Theorem A is proved through the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.3. *Assume X_1 and X_2 are related by a classical flop. We denote the Chern roots (in CH^*) of A by a_1,a_2 and Chern roots of B by b_1,b_2. Then, we have*

$$s_n([X_1-X_2]) = \int_Z \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3+i_4=n-3, i_r\geq 0} a_1^{i_1}a_2^{i_2}a_3^{i_3}a_4^{i_4} \left[(-1)^{i_2}\binom{n-1}{i_1}+(-1)^{i_1}\binom{n-1}{i_2}+(-1)^{i_4+1}\binom{n-1}{i_3}+(-1)^{i_3+1}\binom{n-1}{i_4}\right].$$

Proof. By the double point relation of MGL, we show in [LYZ13, Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4] that in $\mathrm{MGL}(k)$,

$$[X_1-X_2] = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}(A)}(B\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(A)}(-1)\oplus\mathcal{O}) - \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}(B)}(A\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(B)}(-1)\oplus\mathcal{O}). \tag{14}$$

Note that the right hand side of (14) is the difference of two systems of iterated projective bundles.

For any n-dimensional vector bundle V on a smooth quasi-projective variety X with Chern roots $\{\lambda_i\}$, let $\pi:\mathbb{P}_X(V)\to X$ be the corresponding projective bundle. Take $f(t)\in \mathrm{CH}^*(X)[\![t]\!]$. Then it is well-known that

$$\pi_*(f(c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)))) = \sum_i \frac{f(-\lambda_i)}{\prod_{j\neq i}(\lambda_j-\lambda_i)}. \tag{15}$$

A direct computation using (14) and the formula (15) shows the desired formula. □

Lemma 5.4. *The polynomial generators of* $\mathrm{MSL}^{\wedge}_{\eta}[1/2]$ *of degree greater than 4 lie in the ideal* $\mathcal{I}_{fl}$.

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem B (2), Lemma 5.3, and [T00, Lemma 6.2]. □

Lemma 5.5. *The polynomial generators of* $\mathrm{MSL}^{\wedge}_{\eta}[1/2]$ *with degree 2, 3, 4 have algebraically independent images under the map* ϕ.

Proof. As in [Höh91], (see also [LYZ13, Proposition 5.2]), we have the following generators W_i of degree i of MGL^*, characterized by their Chern numbers

$$c_1^2[W_2] = 0, c_2[W_2] = 24;$$
$$c_1^3[W_3] = 0, c_1c_2[W_3] = 0, c_3[W_3] = 2;$$
$$c_1^4[W_4] = 0, c_1^2c_2[W_4] = 0, c_2^2[W_4] = 2, c_1c_3[W_4] = 0, c_4[W_4] = 6.$$

In particular, by Theorem B (2), we know that W_i, $i = 2, 3, 4$, are polynomial generators of $(\mathrm{MSL}[1/2p]^{\wedge}_{\eta})^*$ in the corresponding degrees.

In [LYZ13, § 5], we calculated that $\phi(W_2) = 24a_2$, $\phi(W_3) = a_3$, and $\phi(W_4) = 6a_2^2 - a_4$. Hence, they are algebraically independent. □

This finishes the proof of Theorem A.

We finish this paper by the following.

Remark 5.6. Note that the proof of SU-rigidity property of [K90] only uses properties of the Baker-Akhiezer function, hence, also applies to the algebraic genus $\phi : \mathrm{MGL}[1/2p]^* \to \mathrm{Ell}$ studied in the present paper. Therefore, Theorem [LYZ13, Theorem B] implies that any genus factoring through $\mathcal{I}_{fl}$ is SL-rigid. However, the argument in [T00] shows that the converse is also true in the topological setting, although at the present we do not know this in the algebraic setting.

Appendix A. Convergence of the motivic Adams spectral sequence

In the topological setting, in [Bous79], Bousfield proved that the nilpotent completion of any connective spectrum at the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum of $\mathbb{Z}/l$ is isomorphic to the Bousfield localization at the Moore spectrum. The goal of this appendix is to study the motivic analogues of Bousfield's result.

Now let k be an arbitrary perfect field, and $\mathrm{SH}(k)$ the stable motivic homotopy category over k. For any prime number l, let $S\mathbb{Z}/l \in \mathrm{SH}$ be the motivic Moore spectrum, and $E = H\mathbb{Z}/l \in \mathrm{SH}$ the motivic Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum. For any motivic spectrum Y, $Y^{\wedge}_l$ is the completion of Y at l as in § 4.1, and Y^{nil}_E the nilpotent completion of Y at E, i.e., the homotopy inverse limit of the Adams tower (6). Recall that for every integer q, r_q is the right adjoint of the inclusion $i_q : \Sigma^{2q,q}\mathrm{SH}^{\mathrm{eff}} \subset \mathrm{SH}$, and f_q is the composite $i_q \circ r_q$. Any motivic spectrum Y fits into a distinguished triangle

$$f_q(Y) \to Y \to f^{q-1}(Y) \to \Sigma^{1,0} f_q(Y).$$

Following [RSØ16], define $sc := \mathrm{holim}_q f^{q-1}$ to be the slice completion endofunctor on $\mathrm{SH}(k)$.

We say a set of bi-degrees $\{(p_i, q_i)\}_{i\in I}$ satisfies condition (Fin) if

(Fin) there exists some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $p_i - 2q_i \geq s$, for any $i \in I$.

Let $E = H\mathbb{Z}/l$ be the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum. We say a spectrum $Y \in \mathrm{SH}$ satisfies condition (Fin), if $E \wedge Y = \oplus_{i\in I}\Sigma^{(p_i,q_i)}E$, where the index set $\{(p_i, q_i)\}_{i\in I}$ satisfies condition (Fin).

We have the following motivic analogue of the Bousfield isomorphism, which is a more precise version of Theorem C.

Theorem A.1. (1) *Let $Y \in \mathrm{SH}$ be any motivic spectrum, and let $E = H\mathbb{Z}/l$. Let $Y^\wedge_{l,\eta}$ be the completion of Y at l, η. Then we have a weak equivalence*

$$sc(Y^\wedge_l) \cong sc(Y^{\mathrm{nil}}_E).$$

If furthermore Y has a cell presentation of finite type ([RSØ16, § 3.3]), then $sc(Y^{\mathrm{nil}}_E) \cong Y^\wedge_{l,\eta}$.

(2) *Let $Y \in \mathrm{SH}$ be any motivic spectrum satisfying condition (Fin), then Y^{nil}_E is slice complete. If furthermore Y has a cell presentation of finite type, then there is a weak equivalence $Y^{\mathrm{nil}}_E \cong Y^\wedge_{l,\eta}$.*

Remark A.2. (1) If the field k has characteristic 0, similar convergence property of the motivic Adams spectral sequence has been studied by Hu-Kriz-Ormsby in [HKO11]. The proof in the present paper has no restriction on the characteristic of the field.

(2) Theorem A.1 is false without any finiteness assumption. For an E-module X, we have $X^{\mathrm{nil}}_E \cong X$ (see e.g., [DI10, Remark 6.9]). Without condition (Fin), there are examples of non-zero E-modules whose slice completion is zero, one example being the étale cohomology spectrum $H^{\acute{e}t}\mathbb{Z}/l$.

(3) Examples of spectra having cell presentation of finite type and satisfying condition (Fin) include MGL, MSL, etc.

A.1. **Proof of Theorem A.1 (1).** In this subsection, we follow the approach of [Bous79] to prove Theorem A.1 (1).

We adapt the same notations as in [Bous79]. For each $s \geq 0$, let $\overline{E}^s$ be as in § 4.1; Define $\overline{E}_{s-1}$ by the triangle $\overline{E}^s \to S \to \overline{E}_{s-1} \to \Sigma^{1,0}\overline{E}^s$. Recall we have the following sequence [Bous79, (5.1)],

$$E \wedge \overline{E}^s \to \overline{E}_s \to \overline{E}_{s-1} \to \Sigma^{1,0}(E \wedge \overline{E}^s). \tag{16}$$

For a spectrum $Y \in \mathrm{SH}$, the tower $Y \to \{\overline{E}_s \wedge Y\}$ under Y has homotopy inverse limit Y^{nil}_E.

Let $M(E)$ be the collection of objects in $\mathrm{SH}(k)$ consisting of finite extensions of E-modules, with the property that $W = f^n W$ for large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition A.3. An E-nilpotent resolution of Y is a tower $Y \to \{W_s\}$ under Y, such that

(1) $W_s \in M(E)$, for all s.
(2) $\mathrm{colim}_s[W_s, W] \cong [Y, W]$, for any $W \in M(E)$.

Lemma A.4. *The tower $\{f^s(\overline{E}_s \wedge Y)\}$ is an E-nilpotent resolution of Y.*

Proof. Inductively, we can show that $\overline{E}_s \wedge Y$ is a finite extension of E-modules. Indeed, $E \wedge \overline{E}^s$ is an E-module, and $\overline{E}_{s-1}$ is a finite extension of E-modules by induction hypothesis. Hence, $\overline{E}_s \wedge Y$ is a finite extension of E-modules using (16).

For an E-module M, by [P11, Theorem 3.6.13(6)], the slice $s_n(M)$ is also an E-module. Using the homotopy cofiber sequence

$$s_n(M) \to f^{n+1}(M) \to f^n(M) \to \Sigma^{1,0} s_n(M)$$

and induction, it is easy to show that $f^s(\overline{E}_s \wedge Y)$ is a finite extension of E-modules. Therefore, $f^s(\overline{E}_s \wedge Y) \in M(E)$.

For $N \in M(E)$, we have $f^n(N) = N$, for large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{colim}_s[f^s(\overline{E}_s \wedge Y), N] \cong \mathrm{colim}_s[(\overline{E}_s \wedge Y), N]$. To prove the claim, it suffices to show the isomorphism $\mathrm{colim}_s[\overline{E}_s \wedge Y, N] \cong [Y, N]$ for any $N \in M(E)$. We have the following diagram [Bous79, (5.2)],

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \overline{E}^{s+1} & \longrightarrow & S & \longrightarrow & \overline{E}_s & \longrightarrow & \Sigma\overline{E}^{s+1} \\ \downarrow & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{E}^{s} & \longrightarrow & S & \longrightarrow & \overline{E}_{s-1} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma\overline{E}^{s} \end{array}$$

Applying $[- \wedge Y, N]$ and taking colimit, we get a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{colim}_s[\overline{E}^{s+1} \wedge Y, N]_{*+1} \to \mathrm{colim}_s[\overline{E}_s \wedge Y, N]_* \to [Y, N] \to \mathrm{colim}_s[\overline{E}^{s+1} \wedge Y, N]_* \to \cdots .$$

It suffices to show that $\mathrm{colim}_s[\overline{E}^{s+1} \wedge Y, N]_* = 0$, or equivalently, the map $[\overline{E}^s \wedge Y, N] \to [\overline{E}^{s+1} \wedge Y, N]$ is a zero map.

It fits in the following long exact sequence

$$\cdot \to [E \wedge \overline{E}^s \wedge Y, N]_* \to [\overline{E}^s \wedge Y, N]_* \to [\overline{E}^{s+1} \wedge Y, N]_* \to \cdots$$

If $N = E \wedge X$, then, for any map $\phi : \overline{E}^s \wedge Y \to N$, we can find an extension $\tilde{\phi} : E \wedge \overline{E}^s \wedge Y \to N$, such that $\phi = \tilde{\phi} \circ u$, where u is the unit map. This shows the claim when $N = E \wedge X$. For general $N \in M(E)$, N is a finite extension of E-modules. By induction, we have $\mathrm{colim}_s[\overline{E}^{s+1} \wedge Y, N]_* = 0$. □

Similar to Lemma A.4, we have the following.

Lemma A.5. *The tower $Y \to \{f^s(S\mathbb{Z}/l^s \wedge Y)\}$ is an E-nilpotent resolution of Y.*

Proof. We first show that $\{f^s(S\mathbb{Z}/l^s \wedge Y)\}$ is E-nilpotent. We have the cofiber sequence

$$s_n(M) \to f^{n+1}(M) \to f^n(M) \to \Sigma^{1,0} s_n(M)$$

It is clear that $s_n(S\mathbb{Z}/l \wedge Y)$ is a module over $H\mathbb{Z}/l$, therefore, it is E-nilpotent. By induction, we have $f^n(S\mathbb{Z}/l \wedge Y)$ is E-nilpotent.

By definition, we have $N = f^n N$, for all $n > c(N)$, for some $c(N) \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $[f^s(Y/l^s), N] = [Y/l^s, N]$, for $s > c(N)$. This implies the isomorphism $\mathrm{colim}_s[f^s(Y/l^s), N] \cong [Y, N]$. To show the claim, it suffices to verify that $\mathrm{colim}_s[S\mathbb{Z}/l^s \wedge Y, N] \cong [Y, N]$. We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{l^n} & Y & \longrightarrow & S\mathbb{Z}/l^n \wedge Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle l} & & \| & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{l^{n-1}} & Y & \longrightarrow & S\mathbb{Z}/l^{n-1} \wedge Y \end{array}$$

Applying the functor $[-, N]$, we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & [Y, N]_{*+1} & \longrightarrow & [Y/(l^n), N]_* & \longrightarrow & [Y, N]_* & \xrightarrow{l^n} & [Y, N]_* & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & {\scriptstyle l}\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \| & & {\scriptstyle l}\uparrow & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & [Y, N]_{*+1} & \longrightarrow & [Y/(l^{n-1}), N]_* & \longrightarrow & [Y, N]_* & \xrightarrow{l^{n-1}} & [Y, N]_* & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

Taking the colimit of the above system, we get a long exact sequence. In order to show the claim, it suffices to show the colimit of the system $\{[Y, N]_*, l\}$ is zero. This follows from the fact the multiplication map $l : N \to N$ by l is a nilpotent map, for $N \in M(E)$. □

The same argument as [Bous79, 5.9] shows the following.

Lemma A.6. *Two E-nilpotent resolutions have the same homotopy limit.*

As a corollary, we have $\operatorname{holim}_s f^s(\overline{E}_s \wedge Y) = \operatorname{holim}_q f^q(S\mathbb{Z}/l^q \wedge Y)$. A diagonal argument as in [CS02, Theorem 24.9] shows that

$$\operatorname{holim}_s f^s(\overline{E}_s \wedge Y) \cong \operatorname{holim}_s f^s \operatorname{holim}_q(\overline{E}_q \wedge Y) = sc(Y^{\mathrm{nil}}_E).$$

Using the diagonal argument, we have

$$\operatorname{holim}_q f^q(S\mathbb{Z}/l^q \wedge Y) = \operatorname{holim}_q f^q(\operatorname{holim}_s S\mathbb{Z}/l^s \wedge Y) = sc(Y^\wedge_l).$$

As a consequence, $sc(Y^\wedge_l) \cong sc(Y^{\mathrm{nil}}_E)$.

Recall the following result of Röndigs-Spitzweck-Østvær.

Theorem A.7 ([RSØ16], Theorem 3.50)**.** *Suppose Y has a cell presentation of finite type. There is a canonical weak equivalences between $sc(Y)$ and $Y^\wedge_\eta$.*

Hence, if Y has a cell presentation of finite type, we also have $sc(Y^\wedge_l) \cong Y^\wedge_{l,\eta}$. This implies Theorem A.1 (1).

A.2. **Proof of Theorem A.1 (2).**

Lemma A.8. *If $M \in$ SH satisfies condition (Fin). Then, $Y := E \wedge M$ is slice complete. That it, Y is weakly equivalent to* $\operatorname{holim}_q f^q(Y)$.

Proof. We need to show the following isomorphism for any smooth variety $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$, and any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, Y] \cong [\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, \operatorname{holim}_q f^q Y].$$

By assumption, we have the decomposition $Y = \bigoplus_{\{(p_i,q_i)\}_{i\in I}} \Sigma^{(p_i,q_i)}E$. Therefore, $f_q(Y) = \bigoplus_{q_i>q, i\in I} \Sigma^{p_i,q_i}E$. The exact triangle $f_qY \to Y \to f^qY$ induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to [\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, f_qY] \to [\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, Y] \to [\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, f^qY] \to [\Sigma^{a+1,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, f_qY] \to \cdots$$

The term $[\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, f_qY] = [\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, \oplus_{q_i>q, i\in I}\Sigma^{p_i,q_i}E]$ embeds into the followings

$$[\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, \prod_{q_i>q, i\in I} \Sigma^{p_i,q_i}E] = \prod_{q_i>q, i\in I} [\Sigma^\infty_T X, \Sigma^{p_i-a,q_i-b}E] = \prod_{q_i>q, i\in I} H^{p_i-a,q_i-b}(X, \mathbb{Z}/l). \tag{17}$$

By the vanishing Theorem 2.1, (17) vanishes if $q+s > a-b+\dim(X)$. Consequently, for $q \gg 0$, we have the isomorphism $[\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, Y] \cong [\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, f^qY]$. In particular, $[\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, Y] \cong \operatorname{colim}_q[\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, f^qY]$ and $R^1 \lim_q[\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, f^qY] = 0$. The desired isomorphism now follows from the short exact sequence

$$0 \to R^1 \lim\nolimits_q[\Sigma^{a+1,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, f^qY] \to [\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, \operatorname{holim}_q f^qY] \to \operatorname{colim}_q[\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma^\infty_T X, f^qY] \to 0.$$

□

Lemma A.9. *If $M \in$ SH satisfies condition (Fin), then $E \wedge M$ satisfies condition (Fin).*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E \wedge E \wedge M =& E \wedge (\bigoplus_{i\in\Lambda} \Sigma^{p_i,q_i}E) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Lambda} \Sigma^{p_i,q_i}E \wedge E \\ =& \bigoplus_{i\in\Lambda} \Sigma^{p_i,q_i}(\bigoplus_{I\in B} \Sigma^{p(I),q(I)}E) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Lambda}\bigoplus_{I\in B} \Sigma^{p_i+p(I),q_i+q(I)}E \end{aligned}$$

Here the third equality $E\wedge E \cong \bigoplus_{I\in B}\Sigma^{p(I),q(I)}E$ is due to Voevodsky (see also [Sp12, Theorem 11.24]), where the set of bidegrees $\{(p(I),q(I)) \mid I \in B\}$ are the same as in the Steedrod algebra. In particular, we have $p(I) \geq 2q(I)$ for any $I \in B$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma A.10. *If M satisfies condition* (Fin)*, then for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\overline{E}_s \wedge M$ is a finite extension of spectra of the form $E \wedge M$ with M satisfying condition* (Fin).

Proof. We prove this by induction. For $s = 0$, $\overline{E}_0 \wedge M = E \wedge M$, the assertion directly follows from Lemma A.9. For general $s > 0$, by induction hypothesis and the exact triangle $E\wedge\overline{E}^s\wedge M \to \overline{E}_s\wedge M \to \overline{E}_{s-1}\wedge M$, it suffices to show that $E \wedge \overline{E}^s \wedge M$ is a finite extension of spectra satisfying condition (Fin) for any s.

This, in turn, is proved by induction again. For $s = 1$, using the exact triangle $E \wedge \overline{E} \wedge M \to E \wedge M \to E \wedge E \wedge M$, we see that $E \wedge \overline{E} \wedge M$ is an extension of $E \wedge M$ by $E \wedge E \wedge M$, both of which satisfy condition (Fin) by Lemma A.9. In general, consider the exact triangle

$$E \wedge \overline{E}^{\wedge s} \wedge M \to E \wedge \overline{E}^{\wedge s-1} \wedge M \to E \wedge E \wedge \overline{E}^{\wedge s-1} \wedge M.$$

By induction hypothesis and Lemma A.9, $E \wedge \overline{E}^{\wedge s-1} \wedge M$ and $E \wedge E \wedge \overline{E}^{\wedge s-1} \wedge M$ are both a finite extension of spectra satisfying condition (Fin). Therefore, the claim follows from induction. □

Suppose Y satisfies condition (Fin), then by Lemma A.8 and Lemma A.10, $\overline{E}_s \wedge M$ is a finite extension of slice compete spectra. The slice complete spectra form a triangulated category. Therefore, $\overline{E}_s\wedge Y$ is slice complete. As taking slice completion commutes with homotopy colimit, by the diagonal argument, Y^{nil}_E is slice complete.

References

[A58] J. F. Adams, *On the structure and applications of the Steenrod algebra*. Comment. Math. Helv. **32** (1958) 180-214. MR0096219 1.3

[An03] M. Ando, *The sigma orientation for analytic circle-equivariant elliptic cohomology*. Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 91–153. MR1988282 1.1

[BT89] R. Bott and C. Taubes, *On the rigidity theorem of Witten*, Jour. of the Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1989), 137–186. MR0954493 1.1

[Bous79] A.K. Bousfield, *The localization of spectra with respect to homology*. Topology 18 (1979), no. 4, 257-281. MR0551009 1.3, A, A.1, A.1, A.1

[BB10] V.M. Buchstaber, E.Yu. Bunkova, *Elliptic formal group laws, integral Hirzebruch genera and Krichever genera*. preprints, 2010. arXiv:1010.0944v1

[CS02] W. Chachólski, J. Scherer, *Homotopy theory of diagrams*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 155 (2002), no. 736. MR1879153 A.1

[DI10] D. Dugger and D. Isaksen, *The motivic Adams spectral sequence*. Geom. Topol. 14 (2010), no. 2, 967-1014. MR2629898 1.2, 4.2, 4.4, 2, 2

[Hoy13] M. Hoyois, *From Algebraic cobordism to motivic cohomology*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 702 (2015), 173–226. MR3341470

[HKO11] P. Hu, I. Kriz, K. Ormsby, *Convergence of the motivic Adams spectral sequence* J. K-Theory 7 (2011), no. 3, 573–596. MR2811716 1

[Höh91] G. Höhn, *Komplexe elliptische Geschlechter und S^1-äquivariante Kobordismustheorie*, Diplomarbeit, Bonn, Germany (1991). 1.1, 5.2

[K90] I. Krichever, *Generalized elliptic genera and Baker-Akhiezer functions*, Math. Notes **47** (1990), 132–142. MR1048541 1.1, 5.6

[LM07] M. Levine, F. Morel, **Algebraic cobordism theory**, Springer, Berlin, 2007. MR2286826 5.1

[LYZ13] M. Levine, Y. Yang, and G. Zhao, *Algebraic elliptic cohomology and flops I*, with an appendix by Joël Riou on dualizability in the motivic stable homotopy category, Math. Ann., to appear. arXiv:1311.2159. 1.1, 1.2, 5, 5.1, 5.1, 5.2, 5.2, 5.2, 5.6

[Liu95] K. Liu, *On modular invariance and rigidity theorems*, J. Differential Geom. **41** (1995) 343–396. MR133197 1.1

[MVW06] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, and C. Weibel, **Lecture notes on motivic cohomology.** Clay Mathematics Monographs, 2. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Clay Mathematics Institute, Cambridge, MA, 2006. xiv+216 pp. MR2242284 2.1

[M58] J. Milnor, *The Steenrod algebra and its dual*, Ann. of Math. (2) 67 (1958) 150–171. MR0099653 2.1

[Mor99] F. Morel, *Suite spectrale d'Adams et invariants cohomologiques des formes quadratiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **328** (1999), no. 11, 963-968. MR1696188 1.3

[Nov62] S. P. Novikov, *Homotopy properties of Thom complexes*. (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 57 (99) 1962 407–442.MR0157381 1.1, 3.1, 3.2, 1, 4.4

[PPR08] I. Panin, K. Pimenov and O. Röndigs, *A universality theorem for Voevodsky's algebraic cobordism spectrum*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications, vol. **10**(1), 2008, pp.1-16. MR2475610

[PS03] I. Panin, *Oriented cohomology theories of algebraic varieties. (After I. Panin and A. Smirnov)*. Homology, Homotopy Appl. **11** (2009), no. 1, pp. 349–405. MR2529164 5.1

[PW10] I. Panin, C. Walter, *On the algebraic cobordism spectra MSL and MSp*, preprint, arXiv:1011.0651.

[P11] P. Pelaez. Multiplicative properties of the slice filtration, Astérisque, (335):xvi+289, 2011. MR2807904 A.1

[Rav77] D. Ravenel, *Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories*. Lectures, Northwestern University, (1977). 1.3

[RSØ16] O. Röndigs, M. Spitzweck, P. A. Østvær, *The first stable homotopy groups of motivic spheres*, preprint, (2016). arXiv:1604.00365. 1.3, C, A, 1, A.7

[Sp12] M. Spitzweck, *A commutative* $\mathbb{P}^1$ *spectrum representing motivic cohomology over Dedekind domains*, preprint, (2012). arXiv:1207.4078. A.2

[Sw75] R. Switzer, **Algebraic topology-homotopy and homology**. Reprint of the 1975 original. Classics in Mathematics. Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 2002. MR1886843 4.4

[T00] B. Totaro, *Chern numbers for singular varieties and elliptic homology*, Ann. of Math. (2) **151** (2000), no. 2, 757-791. MR1765709 1.1, 1.2, 5.2, 5.6

[Voev02] V. Voevodsky, *Open problems in the motivic stable homotopy theory. I*, Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998), Int. Press Lect. Ser., vol. 3, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002, pp. 3–34. MR1977582 1.3

[Voev03] V. Voevodsky, *Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology*. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. 98 (2003), 1-57. MR2031198 2.1, 2.1, 3.1

Universität Duisburg-Essen, Fakultät Mathematik, Campus Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany
E-mail address: marc.levine@uni-due.de

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, 01003, USA
E-mail address: yaping@math.umass.edu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, 01003, USA
E-mail address: zhao@math.umass.edu